PROVIDING FOR THE CONSIDERATION OF A CONCURRENT RESOLUTION FOR THE ADJOURNMENT OF THE HOUSE AND SENATE FOR THE INDEPENDENCE DAY DISTRICT WORK PERIOD

JUNE 29, 1995.—Referred to the House Calendar and ordered to be printed

---

Mr. WALDHOLTZ, from the Committee on Rules,
submitted the following

REPORT

[To accompany H. Res. 179]

The Committee on Rules, having had under consideration House Resolution 179, by a record vote of 7 to 2, report the same to the House with the recommendation that the resolution be adopted.

BRIEF SUMMARY OF PROVISIONS OF RESOLUTION

The resolution provides for the immediate consideration of an unnumbered concurrent resolution of either House providing for the adjournment of the two Houses during the Independence Day district work period. The rule waives all points of order against the consideration of the resolution.

While adjournment resolutions are ordinarily privileged, a point of order could be raised against the July 4th district work period resolution on grounds it violates section 309 of the Budget Act that requires that the House cannot adjourn for more than three calendar days in July if it has not completed action on all appropriations; and on grounds it violates section 310 of the Budget Act that requires the same with respect to completing action on a reconciliation bill if one is required by the budget resolution.

COMMITTEE VOTES

Pursuant to clause 2(l)(2)(B) of House rule XI the results of each rollcall vote on an amendment or motion to report, together with the names of those voting for and against, are printed below:

Rules Committee Rollcall No. 162

Date: June 29, 1995.

Measure: Rule for consideration of Independence Day district work period adjournment resolution.

Motion By: Mr. Quillen.

Summary of Motion: Report the rule favorably to the House.

Results: Adopted, 7 to 2.

Vote by Members: Quillen—Yea; Dreier—Not voting; Goss—Yea; Linder—Not voting; Pryce—Yea; Diaz-Balart—Yea; McInnis—Yea; Waldholtz—Yea; Moakley—Not voting; Beilenson—Not voting; Frost—Nay; Hall—Nay; Solomon—Yea.

○